	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	x
4	
5	In the Matter of:
6	
7	ETIENNE ESTATES AT WASHINGTON DC, Case No. 14-40786 (NHL)
8	
9	Debtor.
10	
11	x
12	
13	
14	
15	U.S. Bankruptcy Court
16	271-C Cadman Plaza East
17	Brooklyn, NY 11201
18	
19	April 24, 2014
20	3:12 PM
21	
22	
23	BEFORE:
24	HON NANCY HERSHEY LORD
25	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

	Page 2
1	Hearing re: [7] Status conference
2	
3	Hearing re: [10] Motion for 2004 Examination filed by Mark
4	A. Frankel on behalf of First Central Savings Bank
5	
6	Hearing re: [11] Motion for relied from stay fee amount
7	\$176. Filed by Mark A. Frankel on behalf of First Central
8	Savings Bank
9	
LO	
L1	
L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L 7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	Transcribed by: Jamie Gallagher

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:
2	GOLDBERG WEPRIN FINKEL GOLDSTEIN LLP
3	Attorneys for the Debtor
4	1501 Broadway
5	22nd Floor
6	New York, NY 10036
7	
8	BY: J. DONOVAN, ESQ.
9	KEVIN NASH, ESQ.
10	
11	BACKENROTH FRANKEL & KRINSKY LLP
12	Attorney for First Central Savings Bank
13	800 Third Avenue
14	11th Floor
15	New York, NY 10022
16	
17	BY: MARK A. FRANKEL, ESQ.
18	
19	CORITSIDIS & LAMBROS, PLLC
20	Attorney for State Court Foreclosure Counsel
21	46 Trinity Place
22	4th Floor
23	New York, NY 10006
24	
25	BY: JEFFREY GANGEMI, ESQ.

```
Page 4
1
    UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
 2
          Attorney for the U.S. Trustee
 3
          201 Varick Street
          Suite 1006
 4
          New York, NY 10014
 5
 6
 7
    BY: NAZAR KHODOROVSKY, ESQ.
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 5 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE CLERK: Matter numbers 31 through 33 in the case of Etienne Estates at Washington LLC. 3 4 THE COURT: Appearances, please. 5 MR. FRANKEL: Mark Frankel, Backenroth, Frankel & 6 Krinsky, attorneys for First Central Savings Bank. And with 7 me is Jeff Gangemi, who is State Court Foreclosure and Real 8 Estate counsel. 9 MR. GANGEMI: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 11 MR. KHODOROVSKY: Nazar Khodorovsky, trial 12 attorney with the U.S. Trustee's office. 13 MR. DONOVAN: Ted Donovan and Kevin Nash, Goldberg 14 Weprin for the debtor. With us is Johanna Francis (ph), 15 principal. 16 THE COURT: Okay. So we have a status conference, 17 we should probably should do first. And then we have a motion for 2004 exam, for which there's been a limited 18 19 response or objection. And then we have a contested motion 20 for relief from stay. So why don't we begin with the status. 21 22 MR. NASH: Your Honor, in terms of the overall status of the case, this property, although it's styled in 23 24 the name of Etienne Estates at Washington LLC, that's more 25 historic than anything else. This is where Ms. Francis

	Page 6
1	lives with her son. And we filed the Chapter 11. The
2	property is subject to dispute with the lenders.
3	THE COURT: Historic? Not historic. Did you
4	transfer the deed?
5	MR. NASH: No, the deed's in the name of the
6	debtor.
7	THE COURT: Good, then they own it.
8	MR. NASH: Right. They own it.
9	THE COURT: They, the debtor. It's historic and
10	it's current.
11	MR. NASH: Right.
12	THE COURT: Next.
13	MR. NASH: And the issues really in the case, it's
14	a mortgage default. There's litigation and claims with the
15	lenders about the proper recording and filing of various
16	mortgages.
17	THE COURT: There's currently no litigation before
18	me on that issue. Right?
19	MR. NASH: Not directly. The motion to lift the
20	stay does touch upon those defenses.
21	THE COURT: Okay, but those would have to be
22	commenced by adversary proceedings?
23	MR. NASH: Yes, they would.
24	THE COURT: Ms. Katchan?
25	MS. KATCHAN: Yes. I understand.

Page 7 1 THE COURT: It's done. But if you want to wait to 2 hear what we've done, I'm not going to interrupt this. 3 here on time. Okay. Sorry. MR. NASH: So we did file the Chapter 11 because 4 of issues with the property. We do believe that there is a 5 6 light at the end of the tunnel in the sense that if Your 7 Honor will recall, Ms. Francis is the general partner of the 8 ARC buildings. And the ARC confirmed the Chapter 11 case 9 before Your Honor. 10 There is a shareholder -- not a shareholder 11 insider dispute with her father and -- who -- and her father-in-law. And they're fighting over a pot of money of 12 approximately three and a half million dollars. She has 13 substantial claims in at arbitration. That is, under the 14 15 terms of the ARC plan is going to be dealt with first 16 through mediation and then through arbitration. 17 THE COURT: What's the status of the mediation? MR. NASH: The status is the father wants to go 18 19 right to arbitration. Ms. Francis and Mr. Vinay (ph), they want to do a mediation for --20 21 THE COURT: What did the plan provide? 22 MR. NASH: It provides for mediation first and 23 then arbitration. It's before JAMS. I have not represented Ms. Francis in the arbitration. I kind of stayed away from 24 25 it because --

Page 8 1 THE COURT: Wait a minute, but the order of 2 confirmation that confirms the confirmed plan --3 MR. NASH: Right. THE COURT: -- the order that confirms the plan 4 5 states that it will be a two-step process and mediation --6 MR. NASH: Two-step. 7 THE COURT: -- is first? 8 MR. NASH: The mediation is first. 9 THE COURT: Okay. That wasn't something that was 10 -- that you would decide, it's something that was stated? 11 MR. NASH: No, it was specifically -- it was --12 THE COURT: So you have a confirmed plan with an order of this Court that sets forth that mediation is first. 13 14 I don't know why there should be any issue then. 15 MR. NASH: Because the concept was to mediate 16 within a period of 60 days and then go to arbitration. 17 took several months for all the sides to put their various 18 claims together so they can be in a process to even discuss 19 it and then to mediate. 20 So they got beyond that 60 day window, which they 21 all did on consent. And now because they're beyond the 60 22 day window, one side is saying no, I want to arbitrate now. 23 I don't want to mediate. THE COURT: And this is -- and it's the pot of 24 25 money at the end of this dispute that has gone on forever.

Page 9 1 MR. NASH: Yes, it has. 2 THE COURT: And apparently they can't even get to 3 figure out where they're going to resolve it, or how they're 4 going to resolve it, that everyone is supposed to take solace in, including this lender, that this is how it's 5 6 going to all get resolved in this case? 7 MR. NASH: Well, eventually -- it is a sizable pot 8 of money. So --9 THE COURT: I know. 10 MR. NASH: It's a sizable pot of money and what 11 complicates it is the family dynamic. And unfortunately, that makes it more difficult than it should be. 12 13 THE COURT: Is the family dynamic such that the 14 other members of the family would see this property get 15 foreclosed before they would do anything? 16 MR. NASH: I can't comment for Ms. Francis' 17 father. But it is --18 THE COURT: No, as attorney for the debtor, do you 19 think --20 MR. NASH: What I --THE COURT: -- that -- I'm not talking about Ms. 21 22 Francis now. Do you think that the family members, including Ms. Francis and whoever, would see this property 23 be foreclosed or lost -- I know there's a long process for 24 25 that too, before they would kick in?

Page 10 1 MR. NASH: Not from the debtor's point of view. 2 But her father is a different animal and he's a different creature and he's a difficult man to deal with. So from our 3 4 point of view, we want to mediate. The other family involved wants to mediate. We are pushing for a mediation. 5 6 We put a lot of work into getting those claims ready into a 7 readable, understandable form. 8 THE COURT: No, no, I know that. I'm just looking 9 at this property. 10 MR. NASH: We would like to save it. 11 THE COURT: Would they see this property be lost 12 before they would come together on how to solve the problem of the lender? 13 14 MR. NASH: Would the father come together? Ι 15 don't know. 16 THE COURT: I don't know, whoever is involved. 17 MR. NASH: It's the father and the daughter. I don't know. 18 19 THE COURT: All right. 20 MR. NASH: The father has a -- he's been a 21 difficult person to pin down in all these cases. He comes 22 and goes as he likes. He's had several different attorneys 23 involved in these cases. And he -- the relationship is as at a lower ebb as I can think of it. But there is still a 24 25 father/daughter relationship. There's a lot of money here.

There's a grandchild involved on both -- for both of these men. There's the father-in-law and the father. There's a grandchild that lives in this house.

So these are things that I would hope at the end of the day they would put these issues aside and do what's better. If they do nothing else in the case, they should do what's in the best interest of the grandson. But that is for reasonable people to deal with. I don't think the father has been reasonable. He's been difficult to deal with. Ms. Francis is trying to survive, have a place for her son to live, and to be able to restart her life.

Now, the good thing is that there are -- there's a lot of money to fight with here. And so there's money at the end of the tunnel. Unfortunately, we couldn't make a deal with the lender before the bankruptcy, but there was -- there were certainly the elements of a deal in place. It's a cash flow issue for Ms. Francis.

THE COURT: Well, let's not get to their motion yet. I want a status in general.

MR. NASH: Right. So my goal here is to -- and I'm going to get involved. I told Mr. Frankel it's time that I -- I stayed away because I was worried about a conflict, but I see that this is going to infect this case, so I'm going to get involved in the arbitration/mediation process and see if I can bring it to a quicker conclusion.

Page 12 1 There's a lot of money there. If we're able to 2 get, you know, even a third of that money, it deals very nicely with these issues here. And we believe that Ms. 3 Francis is entitled to more than that. 4 5 So with that, I -- we're trying to put together an 6 adequate protection number that we can live with, at least 7 in a short term, while we sort out these issues. But this 8 mortgage situation is something -- you know, that we're here 9 because she wants to protect where she lives. And that's, 10 to me, is --11 THE COURT: Well, we're going to get there. 12 MR. NASH: Right. 13 THE COURT: I have a lot of concern. Okay. 14 MR. KHODOROVSKY: Your Honor, Nazar Khodorovsky 15 for the U.S. Trustee. 16 In many ways, this case is off to a good start. 17 In some ways, it is not. It's off to a good start in a sense that it has provided documents requested by the U.S. 18 19 Trustee. It created the initial debtor interview, meeting 20 of creditors, filed operating reports. That's all good. 21 But there's still several concerns. One concern 22 is that no retention application has been filed for any professionals. Also, Your Honor, in addition to a -- it's 23 not just that they haven't been filed, not even drafts have 24 25 been sent to the U.S. Trustee.

Additionally, Your Honor, there's a question as to even if there is to be an adequate protection arrangement, what would be the source of the funding because if you look at the present operating reports, I know they're for a fairly short period, but right now, unless, you know, the numbers are substantially favorable to the debtor, there needs to be really some showing where the money is going to come from.

THE COURT: Well, let me see if I understand. Let me ask you this on retention. Is there going to be an issue as to the fact, as I understood, I guess, the -- in reading the reply which took me to the 341 meeting transcript, that one of the tenants in the building -- in this property is ARC. Is that the testimony?

MR. KHODOROVSKY: I think -- well, I don't -- Your Honor, I think ARC definitely uses this property as its address.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, to the extent that ARC uses the property for whatever reason and ARC is or is not paying anything and what he -- what is the debtor's counsel going to do when the debtor's counsel who represents ARC is going to have to sue ARC in order to get, for instance, rent. It's a hypothetical at the moment. But have you given that any thought?

MR. NASH: Yes, Your Honor. The reason why the

Page 14 1 application has not been finalized is because there's -- a 2 lot of these (indiscernible) type issues, conflict issues that are more -- they're technical because the ARC case is 3 closed. There's been claims asserted by Ms. Francis through 4 5 her various companies concerning rent. That's one of her 6 claims as part of the arbitration. 7 So -- but I have to lay it out in clear terms for 8 the U.S. Trustee and for Your Honor. So I've been working 9 on my own declaration. I happen to be working on it today. 10 I will finalize it in the next --11 THE COURT: So that -- I mean, that's somewhat of 12 an explanation. And it may be at the end of the day this is 13 going to be problematic. I don't know. 14 MR. NASH: It may be, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: I'm just throwing that out. 16 MR. NASH: But it's something we're concerned and 17 so it's not a formality of the retention. It's somewhat 18 complicated. 19 THE COURT: I mean, obviously your learning curve 20 and what you know about this family and this case is 21 tremendous. And to that extent, there's a great benefit to 22 the estate. And unless -- as I said, unless you figure out 23 a way by which you can farm out to another -- other counsel, 24 you know, when those issues come up, issues where you would 25 have to basically, you know, go after a party you've

Page 15 1 previously represented. 2 MR. NASH: Which is really -- has a confirmed 3 plan. They're only fighting over money. So the money that they're fighting over, whether it's directly or indirectly, 4 5 it's the same insider affiliate disputed money. 6 THE COURT: No. But fighting over money is one 7 thing and then this is an ongoing issue with respect to 8 rent. 9 MR. NASH: No, ARC really -- ARC has sold its 10 property and it has liquidated and consummated its plan. 11 With -- the rent claim is the accrued rents that were owed --12 13 THE COURT: Okay. So ARC no longer operates? 14 MR. NASH: No longer operates. THE COURT: Okay. So that would have -- and that 15 16 occurred before this filing. 17 MR. NASH: Right. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So ARC going forward is not a 19 tenant? 20 MR. NASH: No. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. KHODOROVSKY: Your Honor, but there's other corporations owned by Ms. Francis are tenants. 23 24 THE COURT: I understand. As is Ms. Francis 25 herself a tenant, I think.

MR. KHODOROVSKY: Well, Your Honor, the question as to whether she is a tenant or not is an interesting question because the amended -- the Schedules B and G that were fairly recently filed don't, and I just -- let me just open it -- turn to Schedule G. They don't list any tenants. Schedule G lists no tenants at all.

THE COURT: Well, again, that's going to be part of this dispute. All right. Anything else on status?

MR. KHODOROVSKY: Not at this point, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So we'll take an adjourned date on status later on. I want to hold off on the 2004 exam motion, Mr. Frankel. Well, let me ask you this, Mr. Frankel, the -- the way I see this right now is as to certain issues we have -- or as to a lot of the issues we have a contested matter now. To the extent that we have a contested matter, I would do a contested scheduling order and allow for discovery. And that, in many ways, would -- there are enough -- it seems to me there are enough issues in this contested matter.

It's probably large enough as far as figuring out rental income, and entities, and who owes what, and whether there's been -- what the relationships are and all of that, that it -- interestingly enough, it might be more difficult for the debtor to oppose the requests you're making in discovery of that contested scheduling order than it would

be in a 2004. 2004 is a fishing expedition, okay? But it's a fishing expedition related to the debtor and the debtor's financial affairs. And it just -- this may be an unusual situation where your 2004 expansiveness is actually not as expansive as your discovery would be in this contested scheduling order because there were so many issues on both sides. So I just threw that out as a thought.

MR. FRANKEL: I understand. I've been speaking to debtor's counsel and I think that on the discovery point, we will probably be able to get discovery going without needing that decision to be resolved immediately. My idea was that let's first find out what they're going to give us because getting anything in discovery is often difficult. And then we'll look at it and see whether we need more.

And from what Mr. Donovan told me the debtor is willing to give and the affiliates are willing to give, it should get us most of the way there, if not all of the way there. But we won't know until we find out.

THE COURT: Right. And I understand that part.

What I'm saying is that I'm -- if we do a contested

scheduling order today with discovery deadlines in there,

then that in my mind usually -- again, we don't have an

adversary proceeding. So -- and you haven't commenced an

adversary proceeding. You have commenced this motion which

becomes a contested matter. Whether or not that stops the

Page 18 1 2004 one might argue or not, I'm just suggesting that it 2 might be a more efficient way to control this situation. Because if we -- if the discovery were done within the 3 contested scheduling order, then off on a 2004 exam. That 4 5 was my thinking. 6 MR. FRANKEL: I offered to serve a subpoena if that would make it easier for the debtor. I mean, we're 7 8 not --9 THE COURT: Well, I'm not -- again, I'm talking 10 about form now, not substance. 11 MR. FRANKEL: Yeah, I understand. 12 THE COURT: So let me -- let's -- I'm going to put 13 that aside when we get to the motion and see what the 14 contested issues are because again, it seemed to me that 15 some of the opposition, you know, related to what -- first 16 of all, five years is not a particularly long time, 17 particularly when state law has a reach back of six years. 18 So the five years didn't trouble me. 19 As far as how many entities and all of that, it 20 seems to me that the extent that these entities have any 21 relationship to this property or had any relationship to 22 this property, then in the contested scheduling order 23 related to this motion it's fair game. Whereas, again, it might -- they might, you know, try to argue that -- and then 24 25 it becomes a different issue for me whether or not it's

within 2004, which is more focused on the debtor. So that
was a thought I had.

All right. Let's go to the motion and it's your motion.

MR. FRANKEL: Okay. Well, Judge, after listening to the debtor's presentation on the status conference, the basic problem here is that the debtor sees this as Ms.

Francis' home rather than as an LLC entity that has a responsibility to its creditors and that it's not the same thing as a homeowner. And that is why nothing is being paid, why there's no concept of cash collateral. The debtor just pays what it wants to pay and then deems it to be in lieu of rent without any judicial oversight or consent of the lender.

And I'm just thinking that if a trustee -- if this was a case where there was a trustee involved, that would -- none of that would happen. And that's how you can graphically understand the difference between this being a homeowner and this being an LLC that got a commercial loan. And we want this to be like a regular case where there's someone collecting rent, throwing out non-paying tenants, paying the bills, and giving the excess to the secured creditor. And that's not going to happen because there's no acknowledgment that this is anything but someone's house.

THE COURT: Well, why do you need the

Page 20 1 acknowledgment that it's a commercial loan? I mean, is 2 there something I'm missing as to why you need that 3 acknowledgment as opposed to you need the property to be --4 I mean, I understand why you need everything else, but you 5 do have this issue of -- you do have this issue of having, I 6 guess, provided a home equity loan. (Indiscernible). 7 that's a red herring as to whether it changes, that maybe 8 it's a combined thing. But why -- I just didn't understand 9 why you seem to be focused on some acknowledgment that it's 10 a commercial loan. Why don't we just focus on the fact that 11 it's a loan and it's not being serviced? 12 MR. FRANKEL: That was the point I tried to make 13 in the reply. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MR. FRANKEL: I didn't mean to dilute that point 16 by my comments. 17 THE COURT: Well, you know, and again, figuring out if this falls within a single asset real estate case 18 seems to me to matter. I think it's an issue. But what I 19 20 read -- what troubled me before I read your reply, I got up 21 and I chatted with my law clerks. And I said, well, wait a 22 minute. How come Ms. Francis is not paying rent? And then 23 I got your reply and it says, "How come Ms. Francis is not 24 paying rent?" 25 So I've got a problem with that. And again, you

Page 21 1 can't -- if you're going to be -- if you're going to utilize 2 corporate vehicles, which is perfectly appropriate in this land, then you have to recognize the gift -- you know, you 3 can't have it both ways. You have to recognize that the 4 corporate entity is a separate, distinct entity and -- I 5 6 mean, for instance why wouldn't a Chapter 11 debtor in 7 possession or trustee have a potential fraudulent conveyance 8 action against anybody who's been there and hasn't paid any 9 rent for the past? Aside from paying past rent. People are 10 getting -- are people getting things for nothing? 11 And to the -- so we need to parse this out. 12 Obviously this is a building, whether it's for seven, or 13 four, or three, or six is obviously an issue of fact of 14 who's in there. But more than that, whatever it is, whether 15 it's for seven, or four, or six, or whatever -- however it 16 stands now, there is a market value for what this property 17 could be rented for. And if that equivalent is not being -if it's not being obtained, they have a reason to walk, 18 19 besides the fact that they haven't seen any payments in a 20 very long time. So what is the adequate -- where are we with 21 22 adequate protection? I mean, I read your motion. I mean, I 23 -- Mr. Frankel is still up here, Mr. Nash. So hang on a 24 minute. 25 MR. NASH: Okay.

Page 22 1 MR. FRANKEL: Your Honor, we --2 THE COURT: I don't need to cut you off on any 3 presentation you have. That was --MR. FRANKEL: We suggested based upon an old 2011 4 analysis that was done by an appraiser back then that the 5 6 monthly rent should be -- I believe it was 11,750 and the 7 expenses are a few thousand. And there's 7,900 left over, 8 which is less than six percent interest on the mortgage. 9 And that's what we suggested would be a fair amount to pay 10 in lieu of --11 THE COURT: What was the -- what was the mortgage 12 payment? 13 MR. FRANKEL: Six percent, which is about 9,000 a year -- 9,000 a month, 108,000 a year on about a million 14 15 eight. 16 THE COURT: Do I have to have a litigation? Don't 17 shake your head. Speak through your counsel. It should not 18 be a disputed issue as to what the monthly mortgage payment 19 was at some point prior to filing, what it should have been. 20 Okay? You say it was about --21 MR. FRANKEL: I've already attached all the loan 22 documents. We attached the affidavit and the little calculation by the loan officer that it's six percent. And 23 24 six percent by my calculation on a million eight comes out 25 to about 9,000 a month.

Page 23 1 THE COURT: Well, the argument may be on the 2 million eight. 3 MR. FRANKEL: Excuse me? 4 THE COURT: The argument may be -- is the argument 5 about the -- on the million eight? 6 MR. FRANKEL: The million eight is principle. It 7 was --8 THE COURT: All right. 9 MR. FRANKEL: -- acknowledged in the forbearance 10 agreement. 11 THE COURT: Okay. So all right. And this amount 12 would be less than that. MR. FRANKEL: Yes, it's 1,000 -- \$1,100 less than 13 14 that. And we think that that's less than what would be --15 it's still -- the obligation is still going to be growing at 16 that number but it is a fair rental value. It's very 17 upscale property and expensive property, and it generates rent. And I know that it's the debtor's home but --18 19 THE COURT: Well, but again, if that's disputed it 20 will be part of our contested matter and we'll do an 21 evidentiary hearing that's separate -- I mean, there's two 22 issues here. There's the value of the property. There's 23 the value of the rental. 24 MR. FRANKEL: Right. 25 THE COURT: You know, you don't have to -- all you

Page 24 1 have to do is read any newspaper, or turn on New York 1, or 2 turn anything on to know this is a Brooklyn property, right? Brooklyn is hot. Nobody can afford to live in Brooklyn. 3 They're moving out of Brooklyn to live in Manhattan. 4 So I don't know if -- again, I don't know if this 5 6 property is part of that, but again that's -- everyone knows 7 that. This is where -- this is the place to be. 8 MR. FRANKEL: Now, I understand that the debtor 9 principal probably can't afford to pay that. And that's a 10 problem and the --11 THE COURT: Well, that's why I asked that question 12 in status because it seems to me that's probably true. And 13 I guess the question is --14 MR. FRANKEL: And I have a very simple solution to 15 Just lift the stay. The property is not going to be 16 sold in Kings County Court for a year anyway, by which point 17 we'll find out what happened in the arbitration and either this case will be confirmed or it will be dismissed or 18 19 something else will happen. But it's not going to hold up 20 -- there's no reason to hold us up when we're not going to 21 be interfering anyway. 22 THE COURT: No problem -- the problem is in cases where there are family disputes. And again, I had ARC only 23 for the very end. ARC had been -- right, Judge Fellows 24 25 So I got it at the very, very end. So I did not have

Page 25 1 the -- either the history or the histrionics or whatever you 2 want to call them. But the problem with family disputes is as lawyers 3 when we deal with commercial issues, and judges or whatever, 4 we tend to think that people -- we hope or we advise our 5 6 clients to think logically. That people will do things that 7 are reasonable and rational and in their interests. And 8 when you have family disputes, I'm sure I don't have to --9 I'm sure you've had your share of them in your firm 10 representing it, people don't always act that way. They act 11 out of a whole different set of -- a different toolbox. 12 that's why I asked the question as to whether or not family 13 members and everybody else, while they're arguing in this 14 mediation/arbitration would let this property go down. And 15 Mr. Nash really couldn't answer that because I guess there 16 is a certain unpredictability to how family members treat 17 each other when they are at war. 18 So but you should -- your client should not be the 19 victim of that. 20 MR. FRANKEL: Yeah, we're collateral damage. 21 THE COURT: I think -- I have a sense that there's 22 been a lot of that in the ARC case and now it's continuing. 23 It's spilling over. 24 Mr. Donovan, Mr. Nash, obviously on the issue of 25 lack of equity and property not necessary for an effective

reorganization, that's an evidentiary hearing, a contested matter. You get discovery and we'll do that. On the issue of single asset real estate and whether you'd be -- your requirements would be a different section of 362, that sounds like it's an evidentiary hearing and a contested matter as to whether or not that's a single and an operation of law -- application of law by me.

But lack of adequate protection, which is its own independent basis is a ground for me to lift the stay and to do it right away unless you offer what I think is reasonable adequate protection or at least on some interim basis subject to being increased or decreased depending upon what -- where the case takes us.

MR. NASH: And I agree with Your Honor. This is cash flow and Mr. Frankel hit on it. It's not a question that we're oblivious to the obligation. We know we have to pay adequate protection. We do believe -- we offered \$3,000 a month plus pay the current taxes, which would effectively be a total payment above \$4,000 a month on an annualized basis.

Mr. Frankel thinks the property is worth more, but his own appraisal -- his drive by appraiser has a fair market value rental of \$6,000. So we went up from three to -- and we had a conversation, Judge. They were at six -- and I don't -- okay. They were at six in their appraiser, I

was at three. I think we can get to a middle ground. And what we're talking about is a 90 day period of time.

And, you know, and in one sense, they want it to be a commercial loan and I'm not saying they're not entitled to adequate protection, but they do have a problem in the sense that they gave a home equity loan. By their own documents, it's a home equity loan. And there is a body of law that says that a homeowner doesn't have to pay rent. There is a body of law that says that.

Now, I know that the property is in the name of the LLC, but there's -- there is a body of law that says that. And we also know adequate protection is to protect them against the diminution in value during the pendency of the Chapter 11.

And Your Honor is right. Brooklyn is hot. And it's -- these properties are not going down. That doesn't mean they're not entitled to adequate protection, but there is a flip side to that. And before we get into esoteric issues of whether this is a home, a residence, or if she rents from herself because it's in the name of an LLC, I think what we should try to do is to get a number that she can live with because we do know if there's an adequate protection payment and she can't make it, then she has a lot of trouble.

And this is not a question of not recognizing the

Page 28 1 need to do it. She does have a cash flow issue at this 2 point in time. There's no doubt about it. But she's got to 3 be able to pay something and there is a light at the end of 4 the tunnel in that arbitration. So I've got to get the 5 right number. 6 THE COURT: Unless -- again, unless you're willing 7 to pierce the corporate veil right now, it is not she. 8 MR. NASH: Okay. 9 THE COURT: Okay? It is not she. MR. NASH: I agree. That's fine. But by the same 10 11 token --THE COURT: It is the debtor. 12 13 MR. NASH: Right. 14 THE COURT: The debtor is a Chapter 11 fiduciary 15 and in many ways by doing that, by voluntarily putting 16 yourself in that position, you now have a whole host of 17 obligations separate from dealing with a State Court Judge 18 on foreclosure as to why you're supposed to, you know, 19 maximize assets and comply with the fiduciary obligation, 20 which would be to collect rent, and to evict anybody who 21 can't pay and get a paying tenant. 22 Now, I'm not a heartless Judge. I don't want to put people on the street. I really try very hard -- the 23 other day, I -- well, last month I took somebody off the 24 25 street literally and put them back in their apartment.

Page 29 1 that's not what I'm about. But I don't think you can --2 again, I don't think you can have it both ways. If you're 3 going to create corporate entities and you're going to utilize the system, I think you have to act as a fiduciary. 4 5 Otherwise, you're really opening yourself up it seems to me 6 for an application for a Chapter 11 trustee, assuming one 7 could be paid. 8 MR. NASH: Understood. We don't need any more 9 So perhaps we can take a couple of -- ten minutes 10 and see if we can get a 90 day monthly payment between 3,000 11 and their number that we can -- just for 90 days. 12 forever because there are issues here we can look at. 13 THE COURT: Well, at the same time during those 90 14 days, we will be -- you'll be conducting discovery, and 15 you'll be dealing with the issues or not? 16 MR. NASH: Right. 17 THE COURT: What's --18 MR. NASH: We'll be dealing with the issues. 19 THE COURT: So we'll have a contested scheduling 20 order and --21 MR. NASH: Nothing is going to stop. But we've 22 just got to get us from here to there in a fair manner. 23 THE COURT: Take a second call. 24 MR. NASH: Thank you. 25 MR. KHODOROVSKY: Thank you, Your Honor.

Page 30 1 (Recessed at 3:45 p.m.; reconvened at 4:12 p.m.) THE CLERK: Second call in the case of Etienne 2 3 Estates at Washington LLC. MR. NASH: Your Honor, we did reach an interim 4 resolution of this, starting May 1 for 90 days, and we'll 5 6 revisit it after 90 days. The debtor will pay adequate 7 protection of \$5,000 per month without --8 MR. KHODOROVSKY: Your Honor -- Your Honor, Nazar 9 Khodorovsky for the U.S. Trustee. 10 I would like Mr. Nash to discuss what's going to 11 be the source of the funding for this \$5,000. Thank you, Your Honor. 12 MR. NASH: Ms. Francis will be the source of the 13 14 funding. MR. KHODOROVSKY: Will it be in the form of a loan 15 16 or a capital contribution to the debtor? 17 MR. NASH: It's a question. I haven't thought it 18 out that deeply, but I would consider it not a loan because that will be another set of issues. It will be her -- if we 19 20 want to call it anything, we'll call it a capital 21 contribution. 22 THE COURT: What -- now, all right. 23 MR. NASH: I don't want to call it a loan (indiscernible). 24 25 THE COURT: We know there's an issue as to who's

Page 31 1 in there as a tenant and who's not, but I think even your 2 papers indicated that the entity Mismatch? MR. NASH: Yes, it's Mismatch. 3 4 THE COURT: Okay. So does Mismatch generate any 5 income for which they can pay adequate protection? I 6 understand that there are other things that get paid, other 7 expenses, but is that not clear or is that part of the 8 bigger problem going on? 9 MR. NASH: It generates certain income. It's not 10 a lot of income. I look at Mismatch as being the equivalent 11 of Ms. Francis. That's her personal services company. 12 when I say Johanna Francis, I'm saying Mismatch. To me, 13 they're one in the same. Technically, they're not. 14 They will have to come up, whether it's Johanna 15 Francis, Mismatch, the debtor is going to have to write a 16 check for \$5,000 a month. 17 THE COURT: Okay. And that will not be a loan, 18 we've heard. 19 MR. KHODOROVSKY: I understand, Your Honor, but I 20 would like to then respectfully request that any capital 21 contributions or whatever rent, whatever form this takes be 22 properly reflected on the monthly operating reports and 23 properly characterized as an appropriate transaction. 24 you, Your Honor. 25 Okay, Mr. Nash? THE COURT:

Page 32 1 MR. NASH: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Okay. So let's go to -- for a minute to the motion for relief and \$5,000 per month for May, April 3 -- May, June, and July. I've been sitting here too long 4 5 today. May, June, and July, correct? 6 MR. NASH: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Let's just switch gears for a 8 I was going to fill out and will fill out a 9 contested matters scheduling order. MR. FRANKEL: Your Honor, before we move on, can I 10 11 clarify a couple of additional --THE COURT: Sure. 12 13 MR. FRANKEL: This \$5,000 per month is not in lieu 14 of but in addition to paying the property expenses, 15 including tax, water, and sewer charges as they come due. 16 And the failure to pay the 5,000 or the tax, water, and 17 sewer will give rise to a trip wire which would trigger the automatic stay in the form of -- we'll make a conditional 18 19 order to incorporate that concept that payment is due. 20 it isn't paid and notice of --21 THE COURT: No. I won't do that. I mean, again, 22 we're going to have a whole contested matter here on --23 MR. NASH: Right. 24 THE COURT: -- these issues. I -- maybe that -- I 25 hope that doesn't blow your deal. But if you're saying you

Page 33 1 don't come back to me? 2 MR. FRANKEL: No, no. I'm saying we come back 3 saying that the payment wasn't made. THE COURT: Right. 4 MR. FRANKEL: And therefore, the stay should be 5 6 lifted based --7 THE COURT: It's another, you know, part of your 8 arsenal, right? But it's not going to be an automatic 9 trigger to lifting the -- an automatic trigger to stay 10 relief. And maybe we're talking about the same thing. 11 You're not suggesting it's automatic. You're 12 suggesting that if there's a failure to make an adequate 13 protection payment, you're going to let me know and that's 14 going to be, presumably, you're going to argue a really good 15 reason for me then to lift the stay. 16 MR. FRANKEL: Well, we would like to make it 17 automatic that --18 THE COURT: I'm not going to do that. It's too 19 early in the case and there's too many -- I mean, that's --20 I'm not prepared to do that today. I mean, I understand 21 that's your stipulation, but it's adequate protection. And 22 if you fail to make adequate protection, again, that's an 23 argument for why I should not continue the stay. Right? 24 I mean, you're going to be in the middle of this 25 anyway and you're going to be back here. So if there's not

-- if there's a failure to make an adequate protection payment, you can be back here on an emergency basis for a hearing and I'll give you that -- we're going to adjourn this. So you'll take whatever the adjourned date is and we'll bring it back closer to the problem.

MR. FRANKEL: I think we need a consequence to non-payment. Otherwise, why pay?

MR. NASH: Well, you're going to lose the property. You know, you can't go into Court and say I made a deal. It's \$5,000. I didn't pay.

THE COURT: Well, first of all, this deal has got to be reduced to a writing, a stipulation that I am going to so order, okay? So that everyone is clear on what it is we're agreeing to. And it's without prejudice. Your taking these payments is without prejudice to your motion. And but the failure to make it -- make a payment -- I mean, you know, what happens if something happens at the property and the money is necessary to protect the property some other way? I don't know, there's a boiler issue. You know, the roof caves in. That something happens and the property -- again, to preserve the property, the money is needed. I don't believe in automatic triggers, again, under the circumstances of this case, so early on in this case when there are so many other determinations to make like what's the value.

You know, there is this -- again, there is a potential source of funds in this other situation, but I made it clear that you should not be the one who has to sit out there not getting paid while this -- while these family members continue to fight.

But by the same token, I think that this is a situation where, believe me, if there's -- if they've agreed to adequate protection and they fail to make a payment, you will have a very sympathetic ear. But I want to know if there's a reason why they didn't make the payment. So rather than you become the Court, I want to continue to be the Court and determine whether or not the stay should be lifted at that point.

MR. FRANKEL: I understand, Your Honor. In addition, we are going forward with the discovery and including --

THE COURT: Right. Well, that's what I'm going to do right now. And I'm going to do -- again, formalize it so we're not -- we need to stay formal.

Okay. We need to pick a date in the future which I'll fill out from when we're going to hear this -- an evidentiary hearing. I'm going to give you until -- you've conferred already, but I'm going to give you in accordance with 9014(c), direct you under Rule 26 to confer, and then file a certification.

Page 36 1 Today is -- I'll have you do that by May 9th. 2 long do you want for discovery? 3 MR. NASH: Two months? MR. FRANKEL: Yes. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. So discovery will end June 6 27th, is that all right? And then I -- a week -- well, two 7 weeks later, I'm going to require a brief statement 8 summarizing your positions, the list of intended witnesses 9 and exhibits. I'll give you two weeks from that, from June 10 27th. That would take us to July 11th. 11 And then this only takes us through the end of 12 July. It seems to me that we have to have this hearing in 13 -- this evidentiary hearing in July and allowing enough 14 time, which we're estimating now to be, I don't know, half a 15 day, a day, any ideas? 16 MR. NASH: A day -- no more than a day. 17 THE COURT: All right. I'll set aside a day --18 let's pick a day in July. After the 11th. MR. KHODOROVSKY: Your Honor, the only thing I 19 20 would like to ask of the status conference -- the next 21 status conference should be held before this evidentiary 22 hearing in July. 23 THE COURT: Okay, yeah. And again, Mr. Frankel, if you don't get a payment within -- I don't know if you're 24 25 going to -- if there's going to be a date and then -- I

Page 37 1 mean, you're not going to make them send cure notices and 2 stuff presumably. There's a date. You will contact chambers and I will put this on immediately because it will 3 4 be very, very serious. And if there isn't an explanation 5 for why they haven't made the payment, you may get your 6 relief then and there. But I can't -- I don't want to 7 decide that today. 8 MR. FRANKEL: Okay. 9 THE COURT: Do we -- we know for sure -- hold on a 10 minute. Okay. Didn't we already put stuff on for the 15th? 11 Yesterday? Did we put a lot on? THE CLERK: I don't remember. 12 13 MR. NASH: I do have a trial on the 15th, Judge. 14 THE COURT: Okay. July 17th? 15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Kevin, that's the day after 16 -- you've got a two-day trial the 15th and 16th. 17 MR. NASH: Yeah. Judge, if -- I do --18 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. I'll go -- I'll do it 19 another time. Okay. July 23rd. 20 MR. NASH: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 MR. KHODOROVSKY: At what time, Your Honor? 22 THE COURT: 10:30. July 23rd. A hearing on the matter on July 23rd at 10:30. And I won't put anything else 23 on that day. Obviously if you resolve the matter or you --24 25 that's not a date that's going to work, you'll let me now as

Page 38 1 soon as you know so I can free it up. 2 MR. NASH: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Or go to the beach. 4 MR. FRANKEL: Thank you. MR. KHODOROVSKY: Your Honor, I think there's also 5 6 a need for a next status conference in this case. 7 THE COURT: Yes. Hold on, I'm going to get to it. 8 So Mr. -- the motion for relief from stay, I'm going to --9 even though I did the contested matter scheduling, I'm still 10 going to adjourn it. I'm going to continue the stay based 11 upon your making the adequate protection payments. And I'm 12 going to adjourn it to a date -- when do you want to come back for status? 13 14 MR. KHODOROVSKY: I think early June. 15 MR. NASH: May 29th? 16 MR. KHODOROVSKY: That works. 17 THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to put the motion 18 for relief from stay on -- continue it to May 29th. You'll 19 give me -- you'll be able to give me a nature of a status on 20 how discovery is going. Status is May 29th. MR. KHODOROVSKY: At what time on the 29th of May, 21 22 Your Honor? 23 2:30, Judge. THE CLERK: 24 THE COURT: And then what are we going to do with 25 -- what do you want to do with the 2004 exam motion? Do we

Page 39 1 want to hold it in abeyance seeing what happens with the 2 discovery? 3 MR. FRANKEL: Yes. There's just one thing I wanted to mention. I think that Mr. Donovan and I can work 4 5 it out. We've never had to go to court over a -- or with 6 Mr. Nash over a discovery fight before. 7 MR. NASH: Thanks for including me there. I 8 appreciate it. 9 MR. FRANKEL: But one issue that I want to clarify 10 now because it has been a historic issue in the case is that 11 we need to be able to get in to inspect the property. We 12 have not been able to do that in years. 13 THE COURT: Well, I -- you're going to -- I assume you've got an appraisal. 14 15 MR. NASH: Right. 16 THE COURT: Are you planning to do your own 17 appraisal? 18 MR. FRANKEL: Yes. And there was testimony at the 19 341 meeting about conditions at the property. We need to 20 have an inspection. 21 MR. NASH: All right. We'll arrange for that. 22 MR. FRANKEL: Thank you. THE COURT: Is that going -- that's what I'm 23 24 asking you. Is it an inspection and an appraisal? MR. FRANKEL: Yes, we'll be doing both. 25

Page 40 1 THE COURT: Okay. So you'll have an appraiser 2 with an appraisal on -- presumably for the hearing. then again if anybody was going to take discovery of anybody 3 else's expert, I didn't put a separate date in for that. 4 5 But I would urge you rather than make this more complicated 6 to create a separate date, as soon as you know who your 7 appraiser is and when you have an appraisal, if you could 8 exchange those documents. 9 MR. FRANKEL: Certainly. 10 THE COURT: So if either one of you wants to do a 11 deposition of the other's appraiser, you could do that. Okay. 12 13 MR. NASH: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: I'm hoping that we won't need to do 15 Okay, so I'll carry the 2004 exam motion to the same 16 date? 17 MR. FRANKEL: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 THE CLERK: To the May date? 20 THE COURT: Yeah. And I'm going to enter this 21 order and I'm going to look for you to circulate a very 22 short stip with respect to the continuation of the stay 23 based upon the adequate protection payments. There -- how it's -- the fact that there -- it also requires the 24 25 continuation by the debtor of paying the other items, and

Page 41 1 the fact that Mr. Frankel's client can seek an expedited 2 hearing in the -- on immediate stay relief in the event that 3 there's a failure to make a payment or of either category. MR. NASH: Okay. 4 5 THE COURT: All right? 6 MR. NASH: Yes. 7 MR. KHODOROVSKY: Your Honor, I would like to 8 request that the draft stipulation be circulated to the U.S. 9 Trustee. 10 THE COURT: Circulate it to the U.S. Trustee. 11 MR. KHODOROVSKY: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I think that's it. 13 (Chorus of thank you) 14 THE COURT: Again, this will be uploaded. 15 (Chorus of thank you) 16 THE COURT: And I encourage everybody to stay for 17 the 5 o'clock festivities, although I understand there's a 18 -- there's also something for Judge Lifland going on in 19 Manhattan. 20 MR. KHODOROVSKY: Yes, Judge. THE COURT: Yeah, so I don't know. Whatever, 21 22 anyway. Good luck. 23 MR. NASH: Thank you. 24 MR. KHODOROVSKY: Have a good afternoon, Your 25 Honor.

```
Page 42
1
                THE COURT: Did I do everything?
           (Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded at 4:28
 2
 3
      P.M.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Page 43
1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	We, Jamie Gallagher, certify that the foregoing transcript
4	is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.
5	Jamie Digitally signed by Jamie Gallagher DN: cn=Jamie Gallagher, o, ou,
6	Gallagher email=digital@veritext.com, (=US Date: 2018.03.01 15:10:05 -05'00'
7	Jamie Gallagher
8	
9	
10	Date: March 1, 2018
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Veritext Legal Solutions
17	330 Old Country Road
18	Suite 300
19	Mineola, NY 11501
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

[& - argue] Page 1

&	271 1:16	a	agreement 23:10
& 3:11,19 5:5	27th 36:6,10	abeyance 39:1	allow 16:17
1	29th 38:15,18,20	able 11:11 12:1	allowing 36:13
	38:21	17:10 28:3 38:19	amended 16:3
1 24:1 30:5 43:10	2:30 38:23	39:11,12	amount 2:6 22:9
1,000 23:13	3	accrued 15:11	23:11
1,100 23:13	3,000 26:17 29:10	accurate 43:4	analysis 22:5
10 2:3	300 43:18	acknowledged	animal 10:2
10006 3:23	31 5:2	23:9	annualized 26:19
10014 4:5 10022 3:15	33 5:2	acknowledgment	answer 25:15
	330 43:17	19:24 20:1,3,9	anybody 21:8
10036 3:6	341 13:12 39:19	act 25:10,10 29:4	28:20 40:3,3
1006 4:4	362 26:4	action 21:8	anyway 24:16,21
108,000 22:14	3:12 1:20	addition 12:23	33:25 41:22
10:30 37:22,23	3:45 30:1	32:14 35:15	apartment 28:25
11 2:6 6:1 7:4,8 21:6 27:14 28:14	4	additional 32:11	apparently 9:2
29:6		additionally 13:1	appearances 5:4
11,750 22:6	4,000 26:19 46 3:21	address 13:17	application 12:22
11,730 22.0 11201 1:17	4:12 30:1	adequate 12:6	14:1 26:7 29:6
11201 1.17 11501 43:19	4:12 30.1 4th 3:22	13:2 21:21,22	appraisal 26:22
11301 43.19 11th 3:14 36:10		26:8,11,17 27:5	39:14,17,24 40:2
36:18	5	27:12,17,22 30:6	40:7
14-40786 1:7	5 41:17	31:5 33:12,21,22	appraiser 22:5
1501 3:4	5,000 30:7,11	34:1 35:8 38:11	26:22,25 40:1,7
15th 37:10,13,16	31:16 32:3,13,16	40:23	40:11
16th 37:16	34:10	adjourn 34:3	appreciate 39:8
176 2:7	6	38:10,12	appropriate 21:2
17th 37:14	6,000 26:23	adjourned 16:10	31:23
	60 8:16,20,21	34:4	approximately 7:13
2	7	adversary 6:22	
2004 2:3 5:18	-	17:23,24	april 1:19 32:3 arbitrate 8:22
16:11 17:1,1,4	7 2:1	advise 25:5	arbitration 7:14
18:1,4 19:1 38:25	7,900 22:7	affairs 17:3	7:16,19,23,24
40:15	8	affidavit 22:22	8:16 11:24 14:6
201 4:3	800 3:13	affiliate 15:5	24:17 25:14 28:4
2011 22:4	9	affiliates 17:16	arc 7:8,8,15 13:14
2014 1:19	9,000 22:13,14,25	afford 24:3,9	13:16,18,19,21,22
2018 43:10	90 27:2 29:10,11	afternoon 5:9,10	14:3 15:9,9,13,18
22nd 3:5	29:13 30:5,6	41:24	24:23,24 25:22
23rd 37:19,22,23	9014 35:24	agree 26:14 28:10	argue 18:1,24
24 1:19	9th 36:1	agreed 35:7	33:14
26 35:24	JUL JU.1	agreeing 34:14	
		ral Calutions	

[arguing - confirmed]

		T	
arguing 25:13	behalf 2:4,7	cash 11:17 19:11	collateral 19:11
argument 23:1,4	believe 7:5 12:3	26:15 28:1	25:20
23:4 33:23	22:6 26:17 34:22	category 41:3	collect 28:20
arrange 39:21	35:7	caves 34:20	collecting 19:21
arrangement 13:2	benefit 14:21	central 2:4,7 3:12	combined 20:8
arsenal 33:8	best 11:7	5:6	come 10:12,14
aside 11:5 18:13	better 11:6	certain 16:14	13:8 14:24 20:22
21:9 36:17	beyond 8:20,21	25:16 31:9	20:23 31:14 32:15
asked 24:11 25:12	bigger 31:8	certainly 11:16	33:1,2 38:12
asking 39:24	bills 19:22	40:9	comes 10:21
asserted 14:4	blow 32:25	certification	22:24
asset 20:18 26:3	body 27:7,9,11	35:25	commenced 6:22
assets 28:19	boiler 34:19	certify 43:3	17:23,24
assume 39:13	brief 36:7	chambers 37:3	comment 9:16
assuming 29:6	bring 11:25 34:5	changes 20:7	comments 20:16
attached 22:21,22	broadway 3:4	chapter 6:1 7:4,8	commercial 19:19
attorney 3:12,20	brooklyn 1:17	21:6 27:14 28:14	20:1,10 25:4 27:4
4:2 5:12 9:18	24:2,3,3,4 27:15	29:6	companies 14:5
attorneys 3:3 5:6	building 13:13	characterized	company 31:11
10:22	21:12	31:23	complicated
automatic 32:18	buildings 7:8	charges 32:15	14:18 40:5
33:8,9,11,17	c	chatted 20:21	complicates 9:11
34:22	c 1:16 3:1 5:1	check 31:16	comply 28:19
avenue 3:13	35:24 43:1,1	chorus 41:13,15	concept 8:15
b	cadman 1:16	circulate 40:21	19:11 32:19
b 1:23 16:3	calculation 22:23	41:10	concern 12:13,21
back 18:17 22:5	22:24	circulated 41:8	concerned 14:16
	call 25:2 29:23	circumstances	concerning 14:5
28:25 33:1,2,25 34:2,5 38:13		34:23	concerns 12:21
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	30:2,20,20,23	claim 15:11	concluded 42:2
backenroth 3:11 5:5	capital 30:16,20 31:20	claims 6:14 7:14	conclusion 11:25
	carry 40:15	8:18 10:6 14:4,6	conditional 32:18
bank 2:4,8 3:12 5:6	case 1:7 5:3,23	clarify 32:11 39:9	conditions 39:19
	6:13 7:8 9:6 11:6	clear 14:7 31:7	conducting 29:14
bankruptcy 1:1 1:15,25 11:15	11:23 12:16 14:3	34:13 35:3	confer 35:24
· ·		clerk 5:2 30:2	conference 2:1
based 22:4 33:6	14:20 19:16,20	37:12 38:23 40:19	5:16 19:6 36:20
38:10 40:23	20:18 24:18,25 25:22 26:13 30:2	clerks 20:21	36:21 38:6
basic 19:7		client 25:18 41:1	conferred 35:23
basically 14:25	33:19 34:23,23 38:6 39:10	clients 25:6	confirmation 8:2
basis 26:9,11,20 34:2		closed 14:4	confirmed 7:8 8:2
	cases 10:21,23 24:22	closer 34:5	8:12 15:2 24:18
beach 38:3	<u> </u>		

confirms 8:2,4	court 1:1,15 3:20	d	deeply 30:18
conflict 11:23	5:4,7,10,16 6:3,7	d 5:1	default 6:14
14:2	6:9,12,17,21,24		defenses 6:20
consent 8:21	7:1,17,21 8:1,4,7	damage 25:20 date 16:10 34:4	definitely 13:16
19:13	8:9,12,13,24 9:2,9	35:20 36:25 37:2	department 4:1
consequence 34:6	9:13,18,21 10:8	37:25 38:12 40:4	depending 26:12
consider 30:18	10:11,16,19 11:18		deposition 40:11
consummated	12:11,13 13:9,18	40:6,16,19 43:10	determinations
15:10	14:11,15,19 15:6	daughter 10:17	34:24
contact 37:2	15:13,15,18,21,24		determine 35:12
contested 5:19	16:7,10 17:19	day 8:20,22 11:5	difference 19:18
16:15,16,16,19,25	18:9,12 19:25	14:12 27:2 28:24	different 10:2,2
17:5,20,25 18:4	20:14,17 22:2,11	29:10 36:15,15,16	10:22 18:25 25:11
18:14,22 23:20	22:16 23:1,4,8,11	36:16,17,18 37:15	25:11 26:4
26:1,5 29:19 32:9	23:19,25 24:11,16	37:16,24	difficult 9:12 10:3
32:22 38:9	24:22 25:21 28:6	days 8:16 29:11	10:21 11:9 16:23
continuation	28:9,12,14,17	29:14 30:5,6 dc 1:7	17:13
40:22,25	29:13,17,19,23		dilute 20:15
continue 33:23	30:22,25 31:4,17	deadlines 17:21	diminution 27:13
35:5,11 38:10,18	31:25 32:2,7,12	deal 10:3 11:8,9	direct 35:24
continuing 25:22	32:21,24 33:4,7	11:15,16 25:4	directly 6:19 15:4
contribution	33:18 34:9,11	32:25 34:10,11	discovery 16:17
30:16,21	35:11,12,17 36:5	dealing 28:17	16:25 17:5,9,10
contributions	36:17,23 37:9,14	29:15,18	17:13,21 18:3
31:21	37:18,22 38:3,7	deals 12:2	26:2 29:14 35:15
control 18:2	38:17,24 39:5,13	dealt 7:15	36:2,5 38:20 39:2
conversation	39:16,23 40:1,10	debtor 1:9 3:3	39:6 40:3
26:24	40:14,18,20 41:5	5:14 6:6,9 9:18	discuss 8:18 30:10
conveyance 21:7	41:10,12,14,16,21	12:19 13:6 16:24	dismissed 24:18
coritsidis 3:19	42:1	17:2,15 18:7 19:1	dispute 6:2 7:11
corporate 21:2,5	create 29:3 40:6	19:7,11 21:6 24:8	8:25 16:8
28:7 29:3	created 12:19	28:12,14 30:6,16	disputed 15:5
corporations	creature 10:3	31:15 40:25	22:18 23:19
15:23	creditor 19:23	debtor's 10:1	disputes 24:23
correct 32:5	creditors 12:20	13:20,21 17:2,9	25:3,8
costs 29:9	19:9	19:6 23:18	distinct 21:5
counsel 3:20 5:8	cure 37:1	decide 8:10 37:7	district 1:2
13:20,21 14:23	current 6:10	decision 17:11	documents 12:18
17:9 22:17	26:18	declaration 14:9	22:22 27:7 40:8
country 43:17	currently 6:17	decreased 26:12	doing 28:15 39:25
county 24:16	curve 14:19	deed 6:4	dollars 7:13
couple 29:9 32:11	cut 22:2	deed's 6:5	donovan 3:8 5:13
Coupic 27.7 32.11	Cat 22.2	deems 19:12	5:13 17:15 25:24
			3.13 17.13 23.24
	T7 '4 4 T	val Solutions	

[donovan - frankel] Page 4

39:4	estate 5:8 14:22	41:3	8:13 17:12 18:15
doubt 28:2	20:18 26:3	fair 18:23 22:9	34:11
draft 41:8	estates 1:7 5:3,24	23:16 26:22 29:22	fishing 17:1,2
drafts 12:24	30:3	fairly 13:5 16:4	five 18:16,18
drive 26:22	estimating 36:14	falls 20:18	flip 27:18
due 32:15,19	etienne 1:7 5:3,24	family 9:11,13,14	floor 3:5,14,22
dynamic 9:11,13	30:2	9:22 10:4 14:20	flow 11:17 26:15
e	event 41:2	24:23 25:3,8,12	28:1
e 1:23,23 3:1,1 5:1	eventually 9:7	25:16 35:4	focus 20:10
5:1 43:1	everybody 25:13	far 16:20 18:19	focused 19:1 20:9
ear 35:9	41:16	farm 14:23	forbearance 23:9
early 33:19 34:23	evict 28:20	father 7:11,12,18	foreclosed 9:15,24
38:14	evidentiary 23:21	9:17 10:2,14,17	foreclosure 3:20
easier 18:7	26:1,5 35:22	10:20,25 11:2,2,9	5:7 28:18
east 1:16	36:13,21	favorable 13:6	foregoing 43:3
east 1.10 eastern 1:2	exam 5:18 16:11	fee 2:6	forever 8:25
ebb 10:24	18:4 38:25 40:15	fellows 24:24	29:12
effective 25:25	examination 2:3	festivities 41:17	form 10:7 18:10
effectively 26:18	excess 19:22	fiduciary 28:14	30:15 31:21 32:18
efficient 18:2	exchange 40:8	28:19 29:4	formal 35:19
eight 22:15,24	excuse 23:3	fight 11:13 35:5	formality 14:17
	exhibits 36:9	39:6	formalize 35:18
23:2,5,6 either 24:17 25:1	expansive 17:5	fighting 7:12 15:3	forth 8:13
40:10 41:3	expansiveness	15:4,6	forward 15:18
	17:4	figure 9:3 14:22	35:15
elements 11:16	expedited 41:1	figuring 16:20	four 21:13,15
else's 40:4	expedition 17:1,2	20:17	francis 5:14,25
emergency 34:2	expenses 22:7	file 7:4 35:25	7:7,19,24 9:16,22
encourage 41:16	31:7 32:14	filed 2:3,7 6:1	9:23 11:10,17
enter 40:20		111cu 2.5,7 0.1	9.43 11.10,17
	expensive 23:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
entities 16:21	expensive 23:17 expert 40:4	12:20,22,24 16:4	12:4 14:4 15:23 15:24 19:8 20:22
entities 16:21 18:19,20 29:3	expert 40:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12:4 14:4 15:23
entities 16:21 18:19,20 29:3 entitled 12:4 27:4	_	12:20,22,24 16:4 filing 6:15 15:16 22:19	12:4 14:4 15:23 15:24 19:8 20:22 20:23 30:13 31:11
entities 16:21 18:19,20 29:3 entitled 12:4 27:4 27:17	expert 40:4 explanation 14:12	12:20,22,24 16:4 filing 6:15 15:16 22:19 fill 32:8,8 35:21	12:4 14:4 15:23 15:24 19:8 20:22 20:23 30:13 31:11 31:12,15
entities 16:21 18:19,20 29:3 entitled 12:4 27:4 27:17 entity 19:8 21:5,5	expert 40:4 explanation 14:12 37:4 extent 13:18	12:20,22,24 16:4 filing 6:15 15:16 22:19 fill 32:8,8 35:21 finalize 14:10	12:4 14:4 15:23 15:24 19:8 20:22 20:23 30:13 31:11 31:12,15 frankel 2:4,7 3:11
entities 16:21 18:19,20 29:3 entitled 12:4 27:4 27:17 entity 19:8 21:5,5 31:2	expert 40:4 explanation 14:12 37:4 extent 13:18 14:21 16:15 18:20	12:20,22,24 16:4 filing 6:15 15:16 22:19 fill 32:8,8 35:21 finalize 14:10 finalized 14:1	12:4 14:4 15:23 15:24 19:8 20:22 20:23 30:13 31:11 31:12,15 frankel 2:4,7 3:11 3:17 5:5,5,5 11:21
entities 16:21 18:19,20 29:3 entitled 12:4 27:4 27:17 entity 19:8 21:5,5 31:2 equity 20:6 25:25	expert 40:4 explanation 14:12 37:4 extent 13:18 14:21 16:15 18:20 f	12:20,22,24 16:4 filing 6:15 15:16 22:19 fill 32:8,8 35:21 finalize 14:10 finalized 14:1 financial 17:3	12:4 14:4 15:23 15:24 19:8 20:22 20:23 30:13 31:11 31:12,15 frankel 2:4,7 3:11 3:17 5:5,5,5 11:21 16:12,13 17:8
entities 16:21 18:19,20 29:3 entitled 12:4 27:4 27:17 entity 19:8 21:5,5 31:2 equity 20:6 25:25 27:6,7	expert 40:4 explanation 14:12 37:4 extent 13:18 14:21 16:15 18:20 f f 1:23 43:1	12:20,22,24 16:4 filing 6:15 15:16	12:4 14:4 15:23 15:24 19:8 20:22 20:23 30:13 31:11 31:12,15 frankel 2:4,7 3:11 3:17 5:5,5,5 11:21 16:12,13 17:8 18:6,11 19:5
entities 16:21 18:19,20 29:3 entitled 12:4 27:4 27:17 entity 19:8 21:5,5 31:2 equity 20:6 25:25 27:6,7 equivalent 21:17	expert 40:4 explanation 14:12 37:4 extent 13:18 14:21 16:15 18:20 f f 1:23 43:1 fact 13:11 20:10	12:20,22,24 16:4 filing 6:15 15:16 22:19 fill 32:8,8 35:21 finalize 14:10 finalized 14:1 financial 17:3 find 17:12,18 24:17	12:4 14:4 15:23 15:24 19:8 20:22 20:23 30:13 31:11 31:12,15 frankel 2:4,7 3:11 3:17 5:5,5,5 11:21 16:12,13 17:8 18:6,11 19:5 20:12,15 21:23
entities 16:21 18:19,20 29:3 entitled 12:4 27:4 27:17 entity 19:8 21:5,5 31:2 equity 20:6 25:25 27:6,7 equivalent 21:17 31:10	expert 40:4 explanation 14:12 37:4 extent 13:18 14:21 16:15 18:20 f f 1:23 43:1 fact 13:11 20:10 21:13,19 40:24	12:20,22,24 16:4 filing 6:15 15:16	12:4 14:4 15:23 15:24 19:8 20:22 20:23 30:13 31:11 31:12,15 frankel 2:4,7 3:11 3:17 5:5,5,5 11:21 16:12,13 17:8 18:6,11 19:5 20:12,15 21:23 22:1,4,13,21 23:3
entities 16:21 18:19,20 29:3 entitled 12:4 27:4 27:17 entity 19:8 21:5,5 31:2 equity 20:6 25:25 27:6,7 equivalent 21:17 31:10 esoteric 27:18	expert 40:4 explanation 14:12 37:4 extent 13:18 14:21 16:15 18:20 f f 1:23 43:1 fact 13:11 20:10 21:13,19 40:24 41:1	12:20,22,24 16:4 filing 6:15 15:16 22:19 fill 32:8,8 35:21 finalize 14:10 finalized 14:1 financial 17:3 find 17:12,18 24:17	12:4 14:4 15:23 15:24 19:8 20:22 20:23 30:13 31:11 31:12,15 frankel 2:4,7 3:11 3:17 5:5,5,5 11:21 16:12,13 17:8 18:6,11 19:5 20:12,15 21:23
entities 16:21 18:19,20 29:3 entitled 12:4 27:4 27:17 entity 19:8 21:5,5 31:2 equity 20:6 25:25 27:6,7 equivalent 21:17 31:10 esoteric 27:18 esq 3:8,9,17,25	expert 40:4 explanation 14:12 37:4 extent 13:18 14:21 16:15 18:20 f f 1:23 43:1 fact 13:11 20:10 21:13,19 40:24 41:1 fail 33:22 35:8	12:20,22,24 16:4 filing 6:15 15:16	12:4 14:4 15:23 15:24 19:8 20:22 20:23 30:13 31:11 31:12,15 frankel 2:4,7 3:11 3:17 5:5,5,5 11:21 16:12,13 17:8 18:6,11 19:5 20:12,15 21:23 22:1,4,13,21 23:3 23:6,9,13,24 24:8 24:14 25:20 26:15
entities 16:21 18:19,20 29:3 entitled 12:4 27:4 27:17 entity 19:8 21:5,5 31:2 equity 20:6 25:25 27:6,7 equivalent 21:17 31:10 esoteric 27:18	expert 40:4 explanation 14:12 37:4 extent 13:18 14:21 16:15 18:20 f f 1:23 43:1 fact 13:11 20:10 21:13,19 40:24 41:1 fail 33:22 35:8 failure 32:16	12:20,22,24 16:4 filing 6:15 15:16 22:19 fill 32:8,8 35:21 finalize 14:10 finalized 14:1 financial 17:3 find 17:12,18 24:17 fine 28:10 finkel 3:2 firm 25:9 first 2:4,7 3:12 5:6	12:4 14:4 15:23 15:24 19:8 20:22 20:23 30:13 31:11 31:12,15 frankel 2:4,7 3:11 3:17 5:5,5,5 11:21 16:12,13 17:8 18:6,11 19:5 20:12,15 21:23 22:1,4,13,21 23:3 23:6,9,13,24 24:8 24:14 25:20 26:15 26:21 32:10,13
entities 16:21 18:19,20 29:3 entitled 12:4 27:4 27:17 entity 19:8 21:5,5 31:2 equity 20:6 25:25 27:6,7 equivalent 21:17 31:10 esoteric 27:18 esq 3:8,9,17,25	expert 40:4 explanation 14:12 37:4 extent 13:18 14:21 16:15 18:20 f f 1:23 43:1 fact 13:11 20:10 21:13,19 40:24 41:1 fail 33:22 35:8	12:20,22,24 16:4 filing 6:15 15:16	12:4 14:4 15:23 15:24 19:8 20:22 20:23 30:13 31:11 31:12,15 frankel 2:4,7 3:11 3:17 5:5,5,5 11:21 16:12,13 17:8 18:6,11 19:5 20:12,15 21:23 22:1,4,13,21 23:3 23:6,9,13,24 24:8 24:14 25:20 26:15

[frankel - issues] Page 5

35:14 36:4,23	18:12 19:23 21:1	heartless 28:22	income 16:21 31:5
37:8 38:4 39:3,9	21:1 23:15 24:15	held 36:21	31:9,10
39:18,22,25 40:9	24:19,20 27:16	herring 20:7	incorporate 32:19
40:17	29:3,3,21 30:10	hershey 1:24	increased 26:12
frankel's 41:1	31:8,15 32:8,22	historic 5:25 6:3,3	independent 26:9
fraudulent 21:7	33:8,13,14,14,18	6:9 39:10	indicated 31:2
free 38:1	33:24,25 34:3,8	history 25:1	indirectly 15:4
funding 13:3	34:12 35:15,17,18	histrionics 25:1	indiscernible 14:2
30:11,14	35:21,22,23 36:7	hit 26:15	20:6 30:24
funds 35:2	36:25,25 37:1,25	hold 16:11 24:19	infect 11:23
future 35:20	38:7,8,10,10,12	24:20 37:9 38:7	initial 12:19
g	38:17,20,24 39:13	39:1	insider 7:11 15:5
g 5:1 16:3,5,6	39:23 40:3,20,21	home 19:8 20:6	inspect 39:11
	41:18	23:18 27:6,7,19	inspection 39:20
gallagher 2:25 43:3,7	goldberg 3:2 5:13	homeowner 19:10	39:24
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	goldstein 3:2	19:19 27:8	instance 13:22
game 18:23 gangemi 3:25 5:7	good 5:9,10 6:7	hon 1:24	21:6
gangenii 5.25 5.7 5:9	11:12 12:16,17,20	honor 5:9,22 7:7,9	intended 36:8
	33:14 41:22,24	12:14,23 13:1,16	interest 11:7 22:8
gears 32:7 general 7:7 11:19	grandchild 11:1,3	13:25 14:8,14	interesting 16:2
generate 31:4	grandson 11:7	15:22 16:1,9 22:1	interestingly
	graphically 19:18	26:14 27:15 29:25	16:23
generates 23:17 31:9	great 14:21	30:4,8,8,12 31:19	interests 25:7
getting 10:6 17:13	ground 26:9 27:1	31:24 32:10 35:14	interfering 24:21
	growing 23:15	36:19 37:20,21	interim 26:11
21:10,10 35:4	guess 13:11 20:6	38:2,5,22 40:13	30:4
gift 21:3 give 17:12,16,16	24:13 25:15	41:7,11,25	interrupt 7:2
32:17 34:3 35:22	h	hope 11:4 25:5	interview 12:19
		32:25	involved 10:5,16
35:23 36:9 38:19	half 7:13 36:14	hoping 40:14	10:23 11:1,21,24
38:19	hang 21:23	host 28:16	19:16
given 13:24	happen 14:9	hot 24:3 27:15	issue 6:18 8:14
giving 19:22 go 7:18 8:16 14:25	19:17,23 24:19	house 11:3 19:24	11:17 13:10 15:7
O	happened 24:17	hypothetical	18:25 20:5,5,19
19:3 25:14 32:2	happens 34:17,17	13:23	21:13 22:18 25:24
34:9 37:18 38:3	34:20 39:1	i	26:2 28:1 30:25
39:5	hard 28:23	_	34:19 39:9,10
goal 11:20	head 22:17	idea 17:11	issues 6:13 7:5
goes 10:22	hear 7:2 35:21	ideas 36:15	11:5 12:3,7 14:2,2
going 7:2,15 9:3,4	heard 31:18	immediate 41:2	14:24,24 16:14,14
9:6 11:21,23,24	hearing 2:1,3,6	immediately	16:18 17:6 18:14
12:11 13:7,10,21	23:21 26:1,5 34:3	17:11 37:3	23:22 25:4 27:19
13:22 14:13 15:18	35:22 36:12,13,22	including 9:5,23	29:12,15,18 30:19
16:7 17:10,12	37:22 40:2 41:2	32:15 35:16 39:7	, -, -, -
101, 11,110,12		ral Solutions	

[issues - month] Page 6

32:24 items 40:25 j 23:25 24:2,5,5 26:16 27:3,10,12 items 40:25 j 27:22 28:18 30:25 24:3,4 27:22 maximiz mean 1	
j 27:22 28:18 30:25 24:3,4 27:22 maximiz	22.0
32.7 12 21.0 17 lives 6.1 11.2 12.0 moon 1	32:9
32.7 13 24.0 17 lives $6.1 11.3 12.0$ mean 1	ze 28:19
	14:11,19
jamie 2:25 43:3,7 34:19,19 35:1,9 llc 5:3,24 19:8,19 18:7 20	0:1,4,15
jams 7:23 36:14,24 37:9 27:11,20 30:3 21:6,2	2,22 23:21
jeff 5:7 38:1 40:6 41:21 llp 3:2,11 27:17:	32:21 33:19
jeffrey 3:25 knows 24:6 loan 19:19 20:1,6 33:20,3	24 34:16
johanna 5:14 krinsky 3:11 5:6 20:10,11 22:21,23 37:1	
31:12,14 1 27:4,6,7 30:15,18 mediate	8:15,19
judge 1:25 19:5 lack 25:25 26:8 30:23 31:17 8:23 10	0:4,5
24:24 26:24 28:17 lack 23:23 20.8 logically 25:6 mediatic	on 7:16,17
28:22 37:13,17 land 21:3 long 9:24 18:16 7:20,22	2 8:5,8,13
38:23 41:18,20 large 16:20 21:20 32:4 36:2 10:5 1	1:24 25:14
longer 15:13 1/1 moeting	12:19
look 12.2 17.14 12.10	39:19
judicial 19:13 july 32:4,5 36:10 18:17 20:21 26:7 26:7 27:8,9,11 29:12 31:10 40:21 member	rs 9:14,22
100king 10.8 25.13	16 35:5
36:12,13,18,22 lawyers 25:3 lord 1:24 men 11	1:2
37:14,19,22,23 lay 14:7 lose 34:8 mention	39:4
june 32:4,5 36:5,9 learning 14:19 lost 9:24 10:11 middle	27:1 33:24
38:14 left 22:7 lost 9:24 10:11 middle left 10:6,25 11:13 million	7:13 22:14
Justice 4:1 legal 43:16 12:1.13 14:2 22:24	23:2,5,6
k lender 9:5 10:13 12:1,13 14:2 22:24 1	7:22
katchan 6:24,25	43:19
kevin 3:9 5:13 lenders 6:2,15 lower 10:24 minute	8:1 20:22
37:15 lieu 19:13 22:10 lower 10:24 luck 41:22 21:24 2	32:2,8
khodorovsky 4.7	
5:11.11 12:14.14 me 11.11 minutes	29:9
13:15 15:22 16:1 lift 6:19 24:15 making 16:24 mismate	ch 31:2,3
16.9 79.75 30.8 9	0,12,15
30:15 31:19 36:19 20:9 55:15 mian 10:5 missing	
37:21 38:5.14.16 Inted 33:0 33:13 mannattan 24:4 moment	
38:21 41:7,11,20 lifting 33:9 41:19 money	7:12 8:25
41.24 light 7:6 28:3 manner 29:22 0.8 10	
kick 9:25 march 43:10 11:13	13 12:1,2
kind 7.24 minted 5.18 mark 2.5, / 5.17 13.7 1	5:3,3,5,6
kings 24.16 Inquidated 15:10 5:5	
know 8.14 9.9 24 list 10:5 50:8 market 21:10 month	22:14,25
10.8 15 16 18 Insterning 19:5 26:23	19 28:24
12.2.8.13.4.5 lists 10:0 matter 1:5.5:2 30.7.3	1:16 32:3
14·13 20 24 25 Interally 28:25 16:15,16,19 17:25 32·13	
17:18 18:15.24 Inganon 6:14,17 20:19 23:20 26:2	
20:17 21:3 23:18 22:16 26:6 32:22 37:23	

[monthly - plaza] Page 7

	ı	ı	T
monthly 22:6,18	need 17:14 19:25	13:18 15:13,15,18	particularly
29:10 31:22	20:2,3,4 21:11	15:21 16:10 17:1	18:16,17
months 8:17 36:3	22:2 28:1 29:8	19:5 20:14 21:25	partner 7:7
mortgage 6:14	34:6 35:19,20	22:20 23:11 26:25	party 14:25
12:8 22:8,11,18	38:6 39:11,19	28:8,9 31:4,17,25	pay 19:12 22:9
mortgages 6:16	40:14	32:2,7 34:13	24:9 26:17,18
motion 2:3,6 5:18	needed 34:21	35:20 36:5,23	27:8 28:3,21 30:6
5:19 6:19 11:18	needing 17:10	37:8,10,14,18,18	31:5 32:16 34:7
16:12 17:24 18:13	needs 13:7	37:19 38:17 40:1	34:10
18:23 19:3,4	never 39:5	40:12,15,18 41:4	paying 13:20
21:22 32:3 34:15	new 1:2 3:6,15,23	41:12	19:21,22 20:22,24
38:8,17,25 40:15	4:5 24:1	old 22:4 43:17	21:9 28:21 32:14
move 32:10	newspaper 24:1	ongoing 15:7	40:25
moving 24:4	nhl 1:7	open 16:5	payment 22:12,18
n	nicely 12:3	opening 29:5	26:19 27:23 29:10
n 3:1 5:1 43:1	non 19:21 34:7	operates 15:13,14	32:19 33:3,13
name 5:24 6:5	notice 32:20	operating 12:20	34:2,7,16 35:8,10
27:10,20	notices 37:1	13:4 31:22	36:24 37:5 41:3
nancy 1:24	number 12:6	operation 26:6	payments 21:19
nash 3:9 5:13,22	23:16 27:21 28:5	oppose 16:24	34:15 38:11 40:23
6:5,8,11,13,19,23	29:11	opposed 20:3	pays 19:12
7:4,18,22 8:3,6,8	numbers 5:2 13:6	opposition 18:15	pendency 27:13
8:11,15 9:1,7,10	ny 1:17 3:6,15,23	order 8:1,4,13	people 11:8 21:9
9:16,20 10:1,10	4:5 43:19	13:22 16:16,25	21:10 25:5,6,10
10:14,17,20 11:20	0	17:6,21 18:4,22	28:23
12:12 13:25 14:14	o 1:23 5:1 43:1	29:20 32:9,19	percent 22:8,13
14:16 15:2,9,14	o'clock 41:17	34:13 40:21	22:23,24
15:17,20 21:23,25	objection 5:19	overall 5:22	perfectly 21:2
25:15,24 26:14	obligation 23:15	oversight 19:13	period 8:16 13:5
28:8,10,13 29:8	26:16 28:19	owed 15:12	27:2
29:16,18,21,24	obligations 28:17	owes 16:21	person 10:21
30:4,10,13,17,23	oblivious 26:16	owned 15:23	personal 31:11
31:3,9,25 32:1,6	obtained 21:18	p	ph 5:14 7:19
32:23 34:8 36:3	obviously 14:19	p 3:1,1 5:1	pick 35:20 36:18
36:16 37:13,17,20	21:12,13 25:24	p.m. 30:1,1 42:3	pierce 28:7
38:2,15 39:6,7,15	37:24	paid 19:11 21:8	pin 10:21
39:21 40:13 41:4	occurred 15:16	29:7 31:6 32:20	place 3:21 11:10
41:6,23	offer 26:10	35:4	11:16 24:7
nature 38:19	offered 18:6 26:17	papers 31:2	plan 7:15,21 8:2,4
nazar 4:7 5:11	office 5:12	parse 21:11	8:12 15:3,10
12:14 30:8	officer 22:23	part 14:6 16:7	planning 39:16
necessary 25:25	okay 5:16 6:21	17:19 23:20 24:6	plaza 1:16
34:18	7:3 8:9 12:13	31:7 33:7	

[please - right] Page 8

places 5:4	nmagagg 0.5 10		20.22.24.21.0.0
please 5:4	process 8:5,18 9:24 11:25	r	20:22,24 21:9,9 22:6 23:18 27:8
pllc 3:19		r 1:23 3:1 5:1 43:1	
plus 26:18	professionals	rational 25:7	28:20 31:21
pm 1:20	12:23	reach 18:17 30:4	rental 16:21 23:16
point 10:1,4 16:9	proper 6:15	read 20:20,20	23:23 26:23
17:9 20:12,15	properly 31:22,23	21:22 24:1	rented 21:17
22:19 24:16 28:2	properties 27:16	readable 10:7	rents 15:11 27:20
35:13	property 5:23 6:2	reading 13:11	reorganization
position 28:16	7:5 9:14,23 10:9	ready 10:6	26:1
positions 36:8	10:11 13:13,16,19	real 5:7 20:18	reply 13:12 20:13
possession 21:7	15:10 18:21,22	26:3	20:20,23
pot 7:12 8:24 9:7	20:3 21:16 23:17	really 6:13 13:7	reports 12:20
9:10	23:17,22 24:2,6	15:2,9 25:15	13:4 31:22
potential 21:7	24:15 25:14,25	28:23 29:5 33:14	represented 7:23
35:2	26:21 27:10 32:14	reason 13:19,25	15:1
prejudice 34:14	34:9,17,18,20,21	21:18 24:20 33:15	representing
34:15	39:11,19	35:10	25:10
prepared 33:20	protect 12:9 27:12	reasonable 11:8,9	represents 13:21
present 13:4	34:18	25:7 26:10	request 31:20
presentation 19:6	protection 12:6	recall 7:7	41:8
22:3	13:2 21:22 26:8	recessed 30:1	requested 12:18
preserve 34:21	26:11,17 27:5,12	recognize 21:3,4	requests 16:24
presumably 33:14	27:17,23 30:7	recognizing 27:25	require 36:7
37:2 40:2	31:5 33:13,21,22	reconvened 30:1	requirements
previously 15:1	34:1 35:8 38:11	record 43:4	26:4
principal 5:15	40:23	recording 6:15	requires 40:24
24:9	provide 7:21	red 20:7	residence 27:19
principle 23:6	provided 12:18	reduced 34:12	resolution 30:5
prior 22:19	20:6	reflected 31:22	resolve 9:3,4
probably 5:17	provides 7:22	regular 19:20	37:24
16:20 17:10 24:9	pushing 10:5	related 17:2 18:15	resolved 9:6 17:11
24:12	put 8:17 10:6 11:5	18:23	respect 15:7 40:22
problem 10:12	12:5 18:12 28:23	relationship	respectfully 31:20
19:7 20:25 24:10	28:25 37:3,10,11	10:23,25 18:21,21	response 5:19
24:22,22 25:3	37:23 38:17 40:4		responsibility
27:5 31:8 34:5	putting 28:15	relationships	19:9
problematic	q	16:22	restart 11:11
14:13	_	relied 2:6 relief 5:20 32:3	retention 12:22
proceeding 17:23	question 13:1		13:10 14:17
17:24	16:1,3 24:11,13	33:10 37:6 38:8	revisit 30:6
proceedings 6:22	25:12 26:15 27:25	38:18 41:2	right 6:8,11,18
42:2 43:4	30:17	remember 37:12	7:19 8:3 10:19
	quicker 11:25	rent 13:23 14:5	11:20 12:12 13:5
		15:8,11 19:13,21	
	Varitant I ac	gal Solutions	

[right - term] Page 9

15:17 16:8,13	sent 12:25	son 6:1 11:11	styled 5:23
17:19 19:3 23:8	separate 21:5	soon 38:1 40:6	subject 6:2 26:12
23:11,24 24:2,24	23:21 28:17 40:4	sorry 7:3	subpoena 18:6
26:10 27:15 28:5	40:6	sort 12:7	substance 18:10
28:7,13 29:16	serious 37:4	sounds 26:5	substantial 7:14
30:22 32:23 33:4	serve 18:6	source 13:3 30:11	substantially 13:6
33:8,23 35:17,18	serviced 20:11	30:13 35:2	sue 13:22
36:6,17 39:15,21	services 31:11	speak 22:17	suggested 22:4,9
41:5,12	set 25:11 30:19	speaker 37:15	suggesting 18:1
rise 32:17	36:17	speaking 17:8	33:11,12
road 43:17	sets 8:13	specifically 8:11	suite 4:4 43:18
roof 34:20	seven 21:12,15	spilling 25:23	summarizing 36:8
rule 35:24	sewer 32:15,17	stands 21:16	supposed 9:4
S	shake 22:17	start 12:16,17	28:18
	share 25:9	starting 30:5	sure 25:8,9 32:12
s 3:1 5:1	shareholder 7:10	state 3:20 5:7	37:9
save 10:10	7:10	18:17 28:17	survive 11:10
savings 2:4,8 3:12	short 12:7 13:5	stated 8:10	switch 32:7
5:6	40:22	statement 36:7	sympathetic 35:9
saying 8:22 17:20	showing 13:7	states 1:1 4:1 8:5	system 29:4
27:4 31:12 32:25	side 8:22 27:18	status 2:1 5:16,21	t
33:2,3	sides 8:17 17:7	5:23 7:17,18	-
says 20:23 27:8,9	simple 24:14	11:19 16:8,11	t 43:1,1
27:11	single 20:18 26:3	19:6 24:12 36:20	take 9:4 16:10
schedule 16:5,6	26:6	36:21 38:6,13,19	29:9,23 34:4
schedules 16:3	sit 35:3	38:20	36:10 40:3
scheduling 16:16	sitting 32:4	stay 2:6 5:20 6:20	takes 26:13 31:21
16:25 17:6,21	situation 12:8	24:15 26:9 32:18	36:11
18:4,22 29:19	17:4 18:2 35:2,7	33:5,9,15,23	talking 9:21 18:9
32:9 38:9	six 18:17 21:13,15	35:12,19 38:8,10	27:2 33:10
second 29:23 30:2	22:8,13,23,24	38:18 40:22 41:2	tax 32:15,16
section 26:4	26:24,25	41:16	taxes 26:18
secured 19:22	sizable 9:7,10	stayed 7:24 11:22	technical 14:3
see 9:14,23 10:11	solace 9:5	step 8:5,6	technically 31:13
11:23,25 13:9	sold 15:9 24:16	stip 40:22	ted 5:13
16:13 17:14 18:13	solution 24:14	stipulation 33:21	ten 29:9
29:10	solutions 43:16	34:12 41:8	tenant 15:19,25
seeing 39:1	solve 10:12	stop 29:21	16:2 28:21 31:1
seek 41:1	somebody 28:24	stop 23.21 stops 17:25	tenants 13:13
seen 21:19	someone's 19:24	stops 17.23 street 4:3 28:23	15:23 16:5,6
sees 19:7	somewhat 14:11	28:25	19:21
send 37:1	14:17	stuff 37:2,10	tend 25:5
sense 7:6 12:18	14.1/	Stuil 37.2,10	term 12:7
25:21 27:3,6			
		<u> </u>	

[terms - yeah] Page 10

_			_
terms 5:22 7:15	token 28:11 35:6	understand 6:25	16:11 19:20 25:2
14:7	told 11:21 17:15	13:9 15:24 17:8	27:3 28:22 30:20
testimony 13:14	toolbox 25:11	17:19 18:11 19:18	30:23 35:9,11
39:18	total 26:19	20:4,8 24:8 31:6	36:2 37:6 38:12
thank 29:24,25	touch 6:20	31:19 33:20 35:14	38:25 39:1,9
30:11 31:23 37:20	transaction 31:23	41:17	wanted 39:4
38:2,4 39:22	transcribed 2:25	understandable	wants 7:18 10:5
40:13 41:11,13,15	transcript 13:12	10:7	12:9 19:12 40:10
41:23	43:3	understood 13:11	war 25:17
thanks 39:7	transfer 6:4	29:8	washington 1:7
thing 11:12 15:7	treat 25:16	unfortunately	5:3,24 30:3
19:10 20:8 33:10	tremendous 14:21	9:11 11:14	water 32:15,16
36:19 39:3	trial 5:11 37:13	unidentified	way 14:23 16:13
things 11:4 21:10	37:16	37:15	17:17,17 18:2
25:6 31:6	tried 20:12	united 1:1 4:1	25:10 34:19
think 9:19,22	trigger 32:17 33:9	unpredictability	ways 12:16,17
10:24 11:8 13:15	33:9	25:16	16:17 21:4 28:15
13:16 15:25 17:9	triggers 34:22	unusual 17:3	29:2
20:19 23:14 25:5	trinity 3:21	uploaded 41:14	we've 7:2 29:21
25:6,21 26:10	trip 32:17	upscale 23:17	31:18 39:5
27:1,21 29:1,2,4	trouble 18:18	urge 40:5	week 36:6
31:1 34:6 35:6	27:24	uses 13:16,19	weeks 36:7,9
38:5,14 39:4	troubled 20:20	usually 17:22	went 26:23
41:12	true 24:12 43:4	utilize 21:1 29:4	weprin 3:2 5:14
thinking 18:5	trustee 4:2 12:15	v	willing 17:16,16
19:15	12:19,25 14:8	value 21:16 23:16	28:6
thinks 26:21	19:15,16 21:7	23:22,23 26:23	window 8:20,22
third 3:13 12:2	29:6 30:9 41:9,10	27:13 34:25	wire 32:17
thought 13:24	trustee's 5:12	varick 4:3	witnesses 36:8
17:7 19:2 30:17	try 18:24 27:21	various 6:15 8:17	work 10:6 37:25
thousand 22:7	28:23	14:5	39:4
three 7:13 21:13	trying 11:10 12:5	vehicles 21:2	working 14:8,9
26:23 27:1	tunnel 7:6 11:14	veil 28:7	works 38:16
threw 17:7	28:4	ven 28.7 veritext 43:16	worried 11:22
throwing 14:15	turn 16:5 24:1,2	victim 25:19	worth 26:21
19:21	two 8:5,6 23:21		write 31:15
time 7:3 11:21	36:3,6,9 37:16	view 10:1,4	writing 34:12
18:16 21:20 27:2	type 14:2	vinay 7:19 voluntarily 28:15	X
28:2 29:13 36:14	u		
37:19,21 38:21	u.s. 1:15,25 4:2	- W	x 1:3,11
today 14:9 17:21	5:12 12:15,18,25	wait 7:1 8:1 20:21	y
32:5 33:20 36:1	14:8 30:9 41:8,10	walk 21:18	yeah 18:11 25:20
37:7	14.0 30.7 41.0,10	want 7:1,20 8:22	36:23 37:17 40:20
		8:23 10:4 11:19	41:21

[year - york] Page 11

year 22:14,14 24:16 years 18:16,17,18 39:12 yesterday 37:11 york 1:2 3:6,15,23 4:5 24:1